

1 **CIVIL COMPLAINT SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE OF THE**  
2 **REPUBLIC OF MCARTHIA**

3 RECEIVED: 25/11/2016

4 CASE: 0001

5 SUBMITTED BY: Dylan Callahan

6 PLAINTIFF Dylan Callahan, on behalf of Dallin James Langford

7 v.

8 DEFENDANT John Houston or representative

9 STATEMENT of FACTS

10 1. Dallin James Langford has been charged by the government of  
11 the Kingdom of Loquntia with the crimes of insubordination,  
12 nominal treason, interfering with an investigation, and  
13 spoliation.

14 2. John Houston (King John I of Loquntia) was made, allegedly  
15 by his own orders, the presiding judge in the case. John  
16 Houston has direct control of the Judiciary of the Kingdom of  
17 Loquntia.

18 3. In the course of the trial John Houston testified as a  
19 witness for the defence and stated that Mr. Langford had lost  
20 his trust after Mr. Langford allegedly made 'slanderous  
21 accusations' against Houston.

22 4. Due to this, the defence identified a potential conflict of  
23 interest, with the presiding judge also having a direct  
24 involvement with the case.

25 5. When asked to recuse himself and substitute an impartial  
26 judge, John Houston refused and argued against the relevance  
27 of his own testimony, asserting that there must first be a  
28 verdict and subsequent appeal, and any objection to the  
29 impartiality of the judge was out of order.

30 5. Public statements made prior and subsequent to his  
31 testimony, John Houston made several statements indicating  
32 that the trial of Dallin Langford would inevitably and  
33 invariably find Mr. Langford guilty, and that his trial was a  
34 "formality" intended to justify the predetermined verdict and  
35 sentence.

36 ALLEGATIONS

37 1. John Houston acted maliciously and unlawfully to deny  
38 Dallin Langford his rights to a fair trial.

39 STANDING and QUESTIONS of JURISDICTION

40 1. John Houston and Dallin Langford are both citizens of  
41 Mcarthia.

42 2. There are negative implications regarding the property,  
43 employment, and social disposition of Mr. Langford.

44 3. Such circumstances were ruled to be within Mcarthian  
45 jurisdiction in 'McCarthy to Judiciary of Mcarthia.'

46 4. This case is brought to Mcarthia as the plaintiff does not  
47 believe he will receive a fair appeal in Loquntia. As he sees  
48 no option to gain a fair appeal, he brings to case to Mcarthia  
49 to seek civil action for his infringement of rights across the  
50 entire Loquntian judiciary.

51 REPARATIONS

52 1. For denial of rights, Mr. Langford asks that John Houston  
53 be made to apologize publicly in the same venues where Mr.  
54 Houston detailed his plans to act against Mr. Langford.

55 2. For punitive damages, Mr. Langford asks to be awarded seven  
56 hundred forty-two Great British Pounds.

57 THE DEFENDANT REQUESTS AND REQUIRES THE EXPEDITIOUS HEARING OF  
58 THIS CASE.